

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

<b>VELDA T. LAMBERT,</b>	§	
<b>Plaintiff</b>	§	
	§	
	§	
<b>V.</b>	§	<b>No. 2:06CV32</b>
	§	
	§	
<b>COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,</b>	§	
<b>Defendant</b>	§	
	§	

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The above-entitled and numbered civil action was heretofore referred to United States Magistrate Judge Caroline M. Craven pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. The Report of the Magistrate Judge which contains her proposed findings of fact and recommendations for the disposition of such action has been presented for consideration. No objections to the Report and Recommendation were filed. The Court is of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct. Therefore, the Court hereby adopts the Report of the United States Magistrate Judge as the findings and conclusions of this Court. Accordingly, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion for Reversal and Remand (Dkt. No. 16) is hereby  
**GRANTED**. It is further

**ORDERED** that Plaintiff's above-entitled and numbered civil action is **REVERSED and REMANDED** under the fourth sentence of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), to the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration for further administrative proceedings before an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"). It is further

**ORDERED** that on remand an ALJ shall evaluate Plaintiff's interstitial cystitis in accordance

with SSR 02-2p (Evaluation of Interstitial Cystitis). The ALJ shall obtain medical testimony as to the inference of an onset date and evaluate onset issues in accordance with SSR 83-20 and *Spellman v. Shalala*, 1 F.3d 357 (5th Cir. 1993). In evaluating Plaintiff's residual functional capacity, the ALJ shall consider treating physician opinions and weigh them in accordance with 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1527, 416.927, and SSR 96-2p. The ALJ shall compare Plaintiff's RFC with the demands of her past relevant work in determining whether Plaintiff can perform her past relevant work and obtain vocational expert testimony. It is further

**ORDERED** that all motions not previously ruled on are **DENIED**, and the referral order is **VACATED**.

SIGNED this 2nd day of April, 2007.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
T. JOHN WARD  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE